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The Legal System in Canada
Common Law

• Records creating a foundation of cases useful as a source of common legal rulings.

• Operates on the rule of precedent, or *stare decisis*, which requires that “like cases be decided alike.”

• Intended to evolve, accounting for society’s current norms and values.
Statute Law

- Laws passed by federal or provincial legislatures as *statutes*, or *acts*.
- The body that originally passed the statute may amend it.
- May be used to make new laws or to codify a common law.
- Regulations add specifics to legislation.
Statute Example: Marine Liability Act

- All Canadian commercial water activities
- Prohibits the use of waivers for water activities
- Limits liability to approx. $350,000 per guest up to $4 million
- Can require minimum levels of liability insurance.
Civil Court Procedure

- Pleadings
- Writ of summons
- Appearance
- Statement of claim
- Statement of defense
- Amended statement of claim
- Amended statement of defense
- Examination for discovery
- Summary trial
- Trial
- Summation
- Ruling
Assessment of Damages

1. Pain and suffering to the time of judgment
2. Loss of amenities before the judgment
3. Loss of earnings before the judgment
4. Expenses incurred before the judgment
5. Pain and suffering expected in the future
6. Loss of amenities after the judgment
7. Loss of earnings after the judgment
8. Cost of future care
9. Costs of defending the case
TORT LAW
Tort Definition

• A civil wrong, other than a breach of contract, which the law will redress by an award of damages.
• May be intentional: assault, libel, slander, trespass, willful damage, deceit and fraud.
• May be unintentional: negligence, nuisance and manufacturer’s liability.
Fault-based Accident Compensation

• Tort law is concerned with “fault-based” accidents, where injuries to one person have been caused by the fault of another and this can be proved based on the facts of the case.

• Serve to clarify the appropriate standard of care, societal values and keep the market in check by making it bear the cost of its mishaps.
Negligence Definition

- The “omission to do something which a reasonable man, guided upon those considerations which ordinarily regulate the conduct of human affairs, would do, or doing something which a prudent and reasonable man would not do.”
- Conduct that falls below the standard required by the industry (and society) in which it functions.
Elements of a Negligent Action

• In order to establish negligence, five elements must be proved:

1. There must be injury to the plaintiff.
2. Defendant must have a duty to avoid injuring the plaintiff.
3. Defendant’s conduct must have breached the standard of care required by law.
4. Defendant’s conduct must be the proximate cause of the damage.
5. The plaintiff’s conduct must not justify a reduction or elimination of the damages awarded.
Duty of Care

- Duty of care refers to the responsibility of one party to take reasonable care for the protection of another.
- Only where a duty of care exists can a breach be proven.
- A duty of care is required only to reasonably foreseeable victims.
When There Is a Duty to Others

- Where there is an economic relationship.
- Where one party supervises or controls another.
- Where one party has created the dangerous situation.
- Where one party voluntarily assumes responsibility for another.
- Where one party is the occupier of land or premises.
When There Is No Duty to Others

• The law does not require a bystander who has not been a party to the creation of a dangerous situation, or who has not volunteered, to act to assist a person in danger.
Standard of Care

- The Reasonable Person
  - Measures the negligent person against another, hypothetical person who is in the same situation.
  - The law requires a minimum level of performance whether the person is capable of it or not.
  - The reasonable person acts prudently, exercises ordinary intelligence and decision making, is not required to display the highest skill possible and does not display unusual foresight.
Standard of Care

• Expert knowledge:
  ➢ “Even if one is not an expert, if there is representation that one is such, and if that representation is relied upon by the plaintiff, it can result in one being held to an expert’s standard of care.”
  ➢ Generally, “custom and approved practice” will be determined by expert witnesses.
Causation

• Negligent defendants are not liable unless their conduct is the *proximate cause* of the plaintiff’s losses.

• The defendant’s actions *must* have caused the injury to the plaintiff and the injury must not be too remote.

• This is the foundation of *fault-based* compensation.
Remoteness

• Remoteness deals with the extent of a defendant’s legal and financial responsibility.
• The thin-skull rule refers to the principle that a defendant must take a plaintiff as he or she is found (e.g., pre-existing conditions).
• A defendant may have a duty to rescuers who come to the assistance of someone in the defendant’s care.
Occupier Liability

• The potential liability of those who control land towards those who enter the property.
• Those who enter on lawful grounds and those who are trespassers.
• An occupier of premises owes a duty to take reasonable care to ensure that a person, while on the premises, will be reasonably safe.
• Note: not only to warn, but to make safe.
DEFENSES TO NEGLIGENCE
Contributory Negligence

• Conduct on the part of the plaintiff which is deemed by the courts to have been unreasonable and contributed to his or her own harm.

• A plaintiff has a duty to look after themselves.

• If proved, the plaintiff’s compensation will be reduced.

• E.g., Scurfield vs. Wiegele Helicopter Skiing.
Voluntary Assumption of Risk

- *Volenti non fit injuria*
- Agreement that the client will participate in an activity involving risk and will give up his or her right to sue in the event an accident resulting from that risk.
- The agreement can be made in writing, words or conduct of the plaintiff.
- Implied and express agreements.
Apportionment

• Is the ability of a court to divide liability among those parties at fault.
• “…the liability to make good the damage is in proportion to the degree the person was at fault.”
• Canadian apportionment law is based upon the values allocated by the court
• U.S. apportionment law formats vary state by state (e.g., 49/1, 51/49…)
Vicarious Liability

• When the liability is not based on wrongdoing by the party itself but on the conduct of someone else.

• The liability arises from the relationship between the party and the person who is involved in conduct that creates the liability.

• Contract or employee?
CONTRACT LAW
Agreement

• Parties need to be able to demonstrate that the agreement is intended to be taken seriously.
• This is usually done through some form of “consideration.” (i.e., deposit)
• With no written contract, everything that occurs between the parties is considered by the court.
Capacity to Enter a Contract

- Minors
  - Two types of contract:
    - Those that are not valid, and
    - Those that allow the minor to opt out.
  - “Minor’s contracts should not be enforceable against them but should be enforceable by them…” (BC legislation wording)
Capacity to Enter a Contract

- Corporations may contract.
- Unincorporated associations may not.
  - Officers and members may be “jointly and severally” liable.
- The principals in partnerships and proprietorships may contract.
Contract Terms

• Express terms are those specifically listed in the contract.

• *Contra preferentum rule* ensures the meaning least favorable to the author will prevail.

• *Contrat d’adhésion* is where the signing party does not have the opportunity to negotiate its terms.
Performance and Breach

• Anything less than complete performance is a breach of contract.

• Exclusion Clauses
  ➢ Clauses for the purpose of protecting one party from liability to the other.
  ➢ These include negligence clauses & occupier liability.
  ➢ Due to their onerous nature, courts adopt a strict attitude toward them.
Damages

• “Where a party sustains a loss by reason of a breach of contract, he is, so far as money can do it, to be placed in the same situation, as if the contract had been performed.”
• Loss of profits, earnings, business…
• Also, reputation, insult, annoyance, aggravation, shock, mental distress…
Waiver Content

- Title
- Names
- Definitions
- Activity description
- Warning of risks
- Assumption of risk
- Release of liability
- Indemnity

- Binding on heirs
- Jurisdiction agreement
- Reliance agreement
- Legal age
- Waive right to sue
- Signature
- Witness
Delivering a waiver

- Provide advance notice
- If possible, send the form in advance
- Educate the guest as to contents
- Write in clear easy to understand terms
- Provide time to read it
- Explain the terms clearly
- Conducive atmosphere
- Do not confuse with other signings required
- Ensure correct signature
- Do not allow guest to cross out/delete sections
- Sign in front of witness
- Have witness sign
- Don’t witness if guest is intoxicated
- Provide a copy to guests
- Keep signed copies 7 yrs
- Don’t interpret/explain
- No guest participation without signed waiver
When the Release is Not Binding

• The document is signed in “circumstances which make it not her act” (non est factum).
• The agreement has been induced by fraud or misrepresentation.
• The party seeking to enforce the document knew or had reason to know of the other’s mistake as to its terms.
Waivers By and on Behalf of Minors

- Contracts signed by minors are unenforceable.
- Standard for minors and parents to sign but this does not make it enforceable.
- Parents cannot sign away the rights of their child.

- but.....
Waivers By and on Behalf of Minors

• Draws the attention to the possibility of injury.
• Creates evidence that both are aware of and assume inherent risk.
• Cases must be won based upon the business not being negligent.
The Principle of Notice

- Did the party seeking protection bring the contract sufficiently to the notice of the other party? *Karroll v. Silver Star Mtn. Resort*
Unconscionability of Waivers

• “So manifestly unfair and unreasonable as to be unenforceable.”

• The argument is that “the waiver clause diverges sufficiently from community standards of commercial morality that it should be unenforceable as unfair and unreasonable.”

• “Waivers should be enforced unless unconscionable.”
Reading the Waiver Before Signing It

• “Where a party has signed a written agreement it is immaterial to the question of his liability under it that he has not read its contents.” *Karroll v. Silver Star*
Reading the Waiver Before Signing It

• The three exceptions: *L’Estrange v. Graucob Ltd.*
  1. *Non est factum.* Circumstances which made it not her act.
  2. Fraud or misrepresentation.
  3. Where the party seeking to have it enforced knew or had reason to know of the other’s mistake as to its terms (3\textsuperscript{rd} exception).
Translating Waivers to Foreign Clients

• An organization must be able to prove the guest knew and understood the release, and it should make every effort to provide a waiver in the guest’s first language.

• *Ochoa v. CMH* is an exceptional circumstance.
Witnessing the Waiver

• Waivers should be witnessed
• Gather a contact address
• Employee may witness a waiver
Waivers and Negligence

- Waivers may cover negligence.
- Do not cover gross-negligence or criminal negligence, defined as wanton or reckless acts.
- That is, “carrying out the activity in a dangerous fashion or when in all the circumstances it is dangerous to do so.”
Indemnifications

• “I agree to hold harmless and indemnify the company from any and all liability for any property damage, or personal injury to any third party, resulting from my participation in…”

• Indemnifications signed by or on behalf of minors.
Indemnification Process

Organization and parent want a minor to participate in the activity

Parent signs indemnity agreement, agreeing to indemnify the organization if the child sues and wins money

Parent discourages the child from suing, or pays the organization the same amount of money the child won

Child participates and gets injured